arXiv:1602.08713v2 [math.CA] 11 Apr 2016

An Algorithm for Solving Linear Nonhomogeneous Quaternion-valued Differential Equations

Yong-Hui Xia[1*] Hai Huang[1] Kit Ian Kou[2†]

1. Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, 321004, China

E-mail: *xiadoc@163.com*

2.Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Macau

kikou@umac.mo (K. I. Kou)

Abstract In this paper we presented an algorithm for finding a solution of the linear nonhomogeneous quaternionic-valued differential equations. The variation of constants formula was established for the nonhomogeneous quaternionic-valued differential equations. Moveover, several examples showed the feasibility of our algorithm.

Keywords: Differential equations; Quaternion; Solution; Nonhomogeneous

1 Introduction

1.1. History. Quaternion-valued differential equations (QDEs) is a new kind of differential equations. QDEs are useful mathematical tools in modeling of many real world dynamics. For instance, QDEs are applied in Frenet frame of differential geometry [4, 6, 16], fluid mechanics [8, 9, 20, 21], kinematic modelling [11], attitude dynamics [5, 10] and quantum mechanics [1, 3, 22, 23]. Some works has been presented for the one-dimensional systems (e.g., see [7, 17, 18, 24]). Recently, Gasull et al [2] studied a one-dimensional quaternion autonomous homogeneous differential equation

$$\dot{q} = aq^n.$$

*Corresponding author: Y-H. Xia, xiadoc@163.com. This author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant (No.11271333 and No. 11171090), Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province under Grant (No. Y15A010022), Marie Curie Individual Fellowship within the European Community Framework Programme(MSCA-IF-2014-EF, ILDS - DLV-655209) and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2014M562320).

†Kit Ian Kou acknowledges financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant (No. 11401606), University of Macau (No. MYRG2015-00058-FST and No. MYRG099(Y1-L2)-FST13-KKI) and the Macao Science and Technology Development Fund (No. FDCT/094/2011/A and No. FDCT/099/2012/A3).

Zhang [25] studied the global structure of the one-dimensional quaternion Bernoulli equations

$$\dot{q} = aq + aq^n.$$

Kou and Xia [12] established a systematic theory of the linear QDEs. They showed few profound differences between QDEs and ordinary different equations (ODEs). The algebraic structure of the solutions to the QDEs is completely different from ODEs. The solutions space is actually a right - free module, not a linear vector space. The authors presented an algorithm to evaluate the fundamental matrix by employing the eigenvalues and eigenvectors. They provided a method to construct the fundamental matrix when the eigenvalues are simple. However, it is possible to have multiple eigenvalues. The recent paper [13] provided a method to construct the fundamental matrix when it has some "repeated" eigenvalues.

The above mentioned works ([12, 13]) only studied the linear "homogeneous" QDEs. How to solve the linear QDEs if it is "nonhomogeneous"? The main goal of present paper is to provide an algorithm for finding a solution of the linear nonhomogeneous quaternionic-valued differential equations. Some examples are given to show the validity of our results.

1.2. Quaternion algebra. We adopt the standard notation in [14, 19]. We denote as usual a quaternion $q = (q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)^T \in \mathbb{R}^4$ by

$$q = q_0 + q_1\boldsymbol{i} + q_2\boldsymbol{j} + q_3\boldsymbol{k},$$

where q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 are real numbers and $\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{k}$ symbols satisfying the multiplication table formed by

$$\boldsymbol{i}^2 = \boldsymbol{j}^2 = \boldsymbol{k}^2 = \boldsymbol{ijk} = -1.$$

We denote the set of quaternion by $\mathbb{H}$.

If a quaternion in $\mathbb{H}$ is $q = q_0 + q_1\boldsymbol{i} + q_2\boldsymbol{j} + q_3\boldsymbol{k}$, then its *conjugate* is $\overline{q} = q_0 - q_1\boldsymbol{i} - q_2\boldsymbol{j} - q_3\boldsymbol{k}$. For any $p, h \in \mathbb{H}$, we have

$$\overline{qh} = \overline{h}\overline{q},$$

$$|q| = \sqrt{\overline{q}q} = \sqrt{q\overline{q}} = \sqrt{q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2}$$

Hence $q^{-1} = \frac{\overline{q}}{|q|^2}$, $q \neq 0$.

$$\Re q = q_0, \quad \Im q = q_1\boldsymbol{i} + q_2\boldsymbol{j} + q_3\boldsymbol{k}.$$

Consider column n-vectors over $\mathbb{H}$

$$\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)^\top, \beta = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n)^\top, a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{H}.$$

where $\alpha^\top$ is the transpose of α. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{H}$ be a quaternion-valued function defined on $\mathbb{R}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a real variable). We denote the set of such quaternion-valued functions by $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Denote $\mathbb{H}^2 = \{\Psi \big| \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}\}$. Then two dimensional quaternion-valued functions defined on real domain, $\Psi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(t) \\ \psi_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}$, where $\psi_i(t) = \psi_{i0}(t) + \psi_{i1}(t)\mathbf{i} + \psi_{i2}(t)\mathbf{j} + \psi_{i3}(t)\mathbf{k}$.

And the first derivative of two dimensional quaternionic functions with respect to the real variable t will be denoted by

$$\dot{\Psi}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\psi}_1(t) \\ \dot{\psi}_2(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dot{\psi}_i := \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi_{i0}}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi_{i1}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{i} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi_{i2}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{j} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi_{i2}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{k}.$$

For any $A(t) = (a_{ij}(t))_{n\times n} \in \mathbb{H}^{n\times n} \otimes \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}A(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}a_{ij}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t}\right).$$

For any quaternion-valued functions $f(t)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$, $f(t) = f_0(t) + f_1(t)\mathbf{i} + f_2(t)\mathbf{j} + f_3(t)\mathbf{k}$ on the interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, if $f_l(t)$ $(l = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ is integrable, then $f(t)$ is integrable and

$$\int_a^b f(t)dt = \int_a^b f_0(t)dt + \int_a^b f_1(t)dt\mathbf{i} + \int_a^b f_2(t)dt\mathbf{j} + \int_a^b f_3(t)dt\mathbf{k}, (a \le t \le b).$$

Moreover,

$$\int_a^b A(t)dt = \left(\int_a^b a_{ij}(t)dt\right).$$

For more details, one can refer to [12]. On the non-commutativity of the quaternion algebra, the algebraic structure of the solutions to QDEs is not a linear vector space. It is actually a right- free module. We will write $\mathbb{A}^R_{\mathcal{R}}$ to describe that $\mathbb{A}$ is a right $\mathcal{R}$-module.

2 Preliminary Results

Consider the following linear nonhomogeneous QDEs

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = A(t)x(t) + f(t), \tag{2.1}$$

and the linear homogeneous QDEs

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = A(t)x(t). \tag{2.2}$$

where $f(t) \in \mathbb{H}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}$, $A(t) \in \mathbb{H}^{n\times n} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on the interval $[a, b]$.

Definition 2.1. *[14](Quaternionic Determinant) For any $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{H}^{n\times n}$,*

$$\det{}_p A = |A|_p = \det{}_p \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12}\cdots a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22}\cdots a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots \ddots \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2}\cdots a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{\sigma\in S_n} \varepsilon(\sigma) a_{n_1 i_2} a_{i_2 i_3} \cdots a_{i_{s-1} i_s} a_{i_s n_1} a_{n_2 j_2} \cdots a_{j_t n_2} \cdots a_{n_r k_2} \cdots a_{k_l n_r}, \tag{2.3}$$

where S_n is the symmetric group on n letters, and the disjoint cycle decomposition of $\sigma \in S_n$ is written in the normal form:

$$\sigma = (n_1 i_2 i_3 \cdots i_s)(n_2 j_2 j_3 \cdots j_t) \cdots (n_r k_2 k_3 \cdots k_l),$$

$$n_1 > i_2, i_3, \cdots, i_s, n_2 > j_2, j_3, \cdots, j_t, \cdots, n_r > k_2, k_3, \cdots, k_l,$$

$$n = n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_r \geq 1,$$

and

$$\varepsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{(s-1)+(t-1)+\cdots+(l-1)} = (-1)^{n-r}.$$

We remark that if all a_{ij} commute with each other, the definition of $\det_p A$ is the same as that an ordinary determinant. According to [13] and [14], Let us consider the flowing $A \in \mathbb{H}^{2\times 2}$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\boldsymbol{j} & \boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{k} \\ 1 & \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In factor, for $n = 2$, we have

$$\sigma_1 = (2)(1), \sigma_2 = (21) \in S_2,$$

and

$$\varepsilon(\sigma_1) = (-1)^{(1-1)(1-1)} = 1, \varepsilon(\sigma_2) = (-1)^{(2-1)} = -1,$$

which implies,

$$\det_p A = \boldsymbol{k}(1+\boldsymbol{j}) - (\boldsymbol{i}+\boldsymbol{k}) = \boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{kj} - \boldsymbol{i} - \boldsymbol{k} = -2\boldsymbol{i}$$

Let $A = (a_{i,j})_{n\times n} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{H}^{n\times n}$, define

$$w_{kj} = \det_p \left[\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^+ \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{j-1}^+ \\ \alpha_n^+ \\ \alpha_{j+1}^+ \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-1}^+ \\ e_k^+ \end{pmatrix} (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{j-1}\, \alpha_n\, \alpha_{j+1} \cdots \alpha_{n-1}\, \alpha_j) \right] \in \mathbb{H}, \tag{2.4}$$

where e_k is the k-th standard basis vector of $\mathbb{H}^n$ and $k, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

Lemma 2.2. *[15] The necessary and sufficient condition of invertibility of matrix $A = (a_{i,j})_{n\times n} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{H}^{n\times n}$ is $ddetA \neq 0$. Then there exists $A^{-1} = (b_{jk})$, b_{jk} has the following form:*

$$\bar{b}_{jk} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{ddetA}} w_{kj},\ k, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

For example, Consider the matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{j} & -\boldsymbol{i} \\ 1 & \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Obviously,

$$B^{+} = \begin{pmatrix} -\boldsymbol{j} & 1 \\ \boldsymbol{i} & -\boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that

$$\mathrm{ddetB} = \det_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{B}^{+}\mathrm{B}) = \det_{\mathrm{p}}\left[\begin{pmatrix} -\boldsymbol{j} & 1 \\ \boldsymbol{i} & -\boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{j} & -\boldsymbol{i} \\ 1 & \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix}\right] = 4 \neq 0.$$

Therefore, B has inverse matrix. If we represent $B^{-1} = (b_{jk})$, then we have

$$w_{11} = \det_{p}\left[\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} & -\boldsymbol{k} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} -\boldsymbol{i} & \boldsymbol{j} \\ \boldsymbol{k} & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right] = 2\boldsymbol{j}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\bar{b}_{11} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{ddetA}} w_{11} = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{j},$$

which implies

$$b_{11} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{ddetA}} w_{11} = -\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{j}.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$b_{12} = \frac{1}{2}, b_{21} = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{i}, b_{22} = -\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{j},$$

Consequently,

$$B^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{j} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{i} & -\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{j} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 2.3. *[13] Let $y_1(t), y_2(t), \cdots, y_n(t)$ be any n solutions of homogenous Eq.(2.2) on I. Then*

$$\Phi(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), \cdots, y_n(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11}(t) & y_{12}(t) \cdots y_{1n}(t) \\ y_{21}(t) & y_{22}(t) \cdots y_{2n}(t) \\ & \cdots \\ y_{n1}(t) & y_{n2}(t) \cdots y_{nn}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

is said to be a solution matrix *of homogenous Eq.(2.2). Moreover, if $y_1(t), y_2(t), \cdots, y_n(t)$ be n independent solutions of homogenous Eq.(2.2) on I, the solution matrix $\Phi(t)$ of homogenous Eq.(2.2) is said to be a* fundamental matrix *of homogenous Eq.(2.2). Further, if $\Phi(t_0) = E(identity)$, $\Phi(t)$ is said to be a* normal fundamental matrix.

Lemma 2.4. *[13] Let $\Phi(t)$ be a* fundamental matrix *of homogenous Eq.(2.2). Any solution $\psi^H(t)$ of linear homogenous homogenous Eq.(2.2) can be represented by*

$$\psi^H(t) = \Phi(t)q,$$

where q is a constant quaternionic vector. Moreover, for given IVP $\psi^H(t_0) = x^0$,

$$\psi^H(t) = \Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(t_0)x^0.$$

.

Lemma 2.5. *If $u^{NH}(t)$ is a solution of nonhomogenous Eq.(2.1), $v^H(t)$ is a solution of homogenous Eq.(2.2), then $u^{NH}(t) + v^H(t)$ is a solution of nonhomogenous Eq.(2.1).*

PROOF. We set $\phi(t) = u^{NH}(t) + v^H(t)$. Since $u^{NH}(t)$ is a solution of system nonhomogenous Eq.(2.1) and $v^H(t)$ is a solution of homogenous Eq.(2.2), we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} & = & \frac{\mathrm{d}x(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{\mathrm{d}y(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} \\ & = & A(t)u^{NH}(t) + f(t) + A(t)v^H(t) \\ & = & A(t)\big(u^{NH}(t) + v^H(t)\big) + f(t) \\ & = & A(t)\phi(t) + f(t) \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that $\phi(t) = u^{NH}(t) + u^{NH}(t)$ is a solution of nonhomogenous Eq.(2.1). The proof of Lemma 2.5 is complete.

Lemma 2.6. *If $u_1^{NH}(t)$, $u_2^{NH}(t)$ are two solutions of nonhomogenous Eq.(2.1), then $u_1^{NH}(t) - u_2^{NH}(t)$ is a solution of homogenous Eq.(2.2).*

PROOF. This proof is similar to the proof Lemma 2.5, so we omit it.

3 Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *For any solution $\varphi^{NH}(t)$ of nonhomogenous Eq.(2.1), it can be expressed as*

$$\varphi^{NH}(t) = \Phi(t)q + u^{NH}(t),$$

where q is a constant quaternionic vector.

Proof. For any solution $\varphi(t)$ of nonhomogenous Eq.(2.1), by Lemma 2.6, we see that $\varphi^{NH}(t) - u^{NH}(t)$ is a solution of homogenous Eq.(2.2). By Lemma 2.4, we see that $\varphi^{NH}(t) - u^{NH}(t) = \Phi(t)q$. It is easy to see that $\varphi^{NH}(t) = \Phi(t)q + u^{NH}(t)$ is a solution of nonhomogenous Eq.(2.1). The proof of Theorem 3.1. is complete.

Theorem 3.2. *(Variation of Constants Formula) The general solution of nonhomogenous Eq.(2.1) is given by*

$$\varphi^{NH}(t) = \Phi(t)q + \Phi(t)\int_{t_0}^{t} \Phi^{-1}(s)f(s)dt. \tag{3.1}$$

where $t_0 \in [a, b]$, q is a constant quaternionic vector.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, the general solution of homogenous Eq.(2.2) is given by

$$\psi^H(t) = \Phi(t)q,$$

where q is a constant quaternionic vector. Now, let us look for a solution of nonhomogenous Eq.(2.1) in the form

$$\varphi^{NH}(t) = \Phi(t)q(t),$$

where $q(t) \in \mathbb{H}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Since $[\Phi(t)q(t)]' = \Phi'(t)q(t) + q'(t)\Phi(t)$, (here $' = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}$), for $\varphi^{NH}(t)$, differentiating it we have

$$\Phi'(t)q(t) + q'(t)\Phi(t) = A(t)\Phi(t)q(t) + f(t).$$

Since $\Phi'(t) = A(t)\Phi(t)$, we have

$$q'(t)\Phi(t) = f(t),$$

which implies

$$q'(t) = \Phi^{-1}(t)f(t).$$

Integrating over $[t_0, t]$, we obtain

$$q(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} \Phi^{-1}(s)f(s)ds + q,$$

where q is a constant quaternionic vector.
Therefore, we obtain the general solution of nonhomogenous Eq.(2.1) as follows:

$$\varphi^{NH}(t) = \Phi(t)q + \Phi(t)\int_{t_0}^{t} \Phi^{-1}(s)f(s)ds.$$

Finnally, we verify that (3.1) is a solution of nonhomogenous Eq.(2.1). Differentiating it we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\varphi^{NH}]'(t) &= \Phi'(t)q + \Phi'(t)\int_{t_0}^{t} \Phi^{-1}(s)f(s)dt + \Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(t)f(t) \\
&= A(t)(\Phi(t)q + \int_{t_0}^{t} \Phi^{-1}(s)f(s)dt) + f(t) \\
&= A(t)\varphi(t) + f(t).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.3. *Consider the initial-value problem (IVP)*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = A(t)x + f(t), \quad x(t_0) = x^0, \tag{3.2}$$

where $t_0 \in [a, b]$, $f(t) \in \mathbb{H}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}$, $A(t) \in \mathbb{H}^{n\times n} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on the interval $[a, b]$, then the solution $\varphi(t)$ is given by

$$\varphi^{NH}(t) = \Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(t)x^0 + \Phi(t)\int_{t_0}^{t} \Phi^{-1}(s)f(s)ds. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Substituting the initial value $x(t_0) = x^0$ into (3.1), Corollary 3.3 follows immediately.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose that $f(t) \in \mathbb{H}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}$, $A(t) \in \mathbb{H}^{n\times n} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is continuous on the interval $[0, T]$, $T > 0$, $f(t + T) = f(t)$ and $A(t + T) = A(t)$. System*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = A(t)x + f(t), \tag{3.4}$$

has only the trivial T-periodic solution) if and only if the fundamental matrix of linear system $\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x$ satisfies $\Phi(0) - \Phi(T)$ is invertible. Moreover, the solution $\varphi^{NH}(t)$ is given by

$$\varphi^{NH}(t) = \Phi(t)\big(\Phi(0) - \Phi(T)\big)^{-1}\Phi(T)\int_0^T \Phi^{-1}(s)f(s)ds + \Phi(t)\int_{t_0}^t \Phi^{-1}(s)f(s)ds. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, the nonhomogenous Eq.(2.1) has general solution $\varphi^{NH}(t)$ such as

$$\varphi^{NH}(t) = \Phi(t)q + \Phi(t)\int_0^t \Phi^{-1}(s)f(s)ds.$$

where q is a constant quaternionic vector. Since $x(0) = x(T)$, we obtain the following equality

$$\Phi(0)q = \Phi(T)q + \Phi(T)\int_0^T \Phi^{-1}(s)f(s)ds,$$

that is,

$$\big(\Phi(0) - \Phi(T)\big)q = \Phi(T)\int_0^T \Phi^{-1}(s)f(s)ds.$$

$$q = \big(\Phi(0) - \Phi(T)\big)^{-1}\Phi(T)\int_0^T \Phi^{-1}(s)f(s)ds.$$

Therefore,

$$\varphi^{NH}(t) = \Phi(t)\big(\Phi(0) - \Phi(T)\big)^{-1}\Phi(T)\int_0^T \Phi^{-1}(s)f(s)ds + \Phi(t)\int_0^t \Phi^{-1}(s)f(s)ds.$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 3.4.

Remark 3.5. *When $n = 1$, the Corollary 3.4 is reduces to main result in [7].*

4 Some Examples

To illustrate the feasibility of our results, we present two examples.

Example 4.1 Consider the following QDEs, find a solution of the initial-value problem (IVP)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{j} & 0 \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} (t^2+1)\boldsymbol{i} \\ t\boldsymbol{j} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x(0) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{j} \\ \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2)^\top$.
Answer: Noting that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{j} & 0 \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad f(t) = \begin{pmatrix} (t^2+1)\boldsymbol{i} \\ t\boldsymbol{j} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the following linear nonhomogeneous QDEs

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{j} & 0 \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} x. \tag{4.2}$$

Taking

$$\Phi(t) = \exp\{At\} = \exp\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{j} & 0 \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} t \right\} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\boldsymbol{j}t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\boldsymbol{k}t} \end{pmatrix},$$

Then it follows from [12] (Theorem 6.1.), $\Phi(t)$ is a fundamental matrix of (4.2). Obviously,

$$\mathrm{ddet}\Phi(\mathrm{t}) = \det_{\mathrm{p}}(\Phi^{+}(\mathrm{t})\Phi(\mathrm{t})) = \det_{\mathrm{p}}\left[\begin{pmatrix} e^{-\boldsymbol{j}t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\boldsymbol{k}t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\boldsymbol{j}t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\boldsymbol{k}t} \end{pmatrix} \right] = 1.$$

Let $\Phi^{-1}(t) = (b_{jk})$, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$w_{11} = \det_p \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-\boldsymbol{k}t} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{\boldsymbol{j}t} \\ e^{\boldsymbol{k}t} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = e^{\boldsymbol{j}t},$$

then

$$\bar{b}_{11} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{ddetA}} w_{11} = e^{\boldsymbol{j}t},$$

which implies

$$b_{11} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{ddetA}} w_{11} = e^{-\boldsymbol{j}t}.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$b_{12} = 0, b_{21} = 0, b_{22} = e^{-\boldsymbol{k}t},$$

then the inverse matrix of $\Phi(t)$ are given by

$$\Phi^{-1}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\boldsymbol{j}t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\boldsymbol{k}t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Corollary 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{NH}(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{\boldsymbol{j}t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\boldsymbol{k}t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{j} \\ \boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e^{\boldsymbol{j}t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\boldsymbol{k}t} \end{pmatrix} \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\boldsymbol{j}s} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\boldsymbol{k}s} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (s^2+1)\boldsymbol{i} \\ s\boldsymbol{j} \end{pmatrix} ds \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} e^{\boldsymbol{j}t}\boldsymbol{j} \\ e^{\boldsymbol{k}t}\boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e^{\boldsymbol{j}t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\boldsymbol{k}t} \end{pmatrix} \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} (s^2+1)e^{-\boldsymbol{j}s}\boldsymbol{i} \\ se^{-\boldsymbol{k}s}\boldsymbol{j} \end{pmatrix} ds \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} e^{\boldsymbol{j}t}\boldsymbol{j} \\ e^{\boldsymbol{k}t}\boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e^{\boldsymbol{j}t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\boldsymbol{k}t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2te^{-\boldsymbol{j}t}\boldsymbol{i} - (t^2e^{-\boldsymbol{j}t} - e^{-\boldsymbol{j}t} + 1)\boldsymbol{k} \\ -te^{-\boldsymbol{k}t}\boldsymbol{i} + (e^{-\boldsymbol{k}t} + 1)\boldsymbol{j} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 2t\boldsymbol{i} + e^{\boldsymbol{j}t}\boldsymbol{j} - (t^2 + e^{\boldsymbol{j}t} - 1)\boldsymbol{k} \\ -t\boldsymbol{i} + (1 - e^{\boldsymbol{k}t})\boldsymbol{j} + e^{\boldsymbol{k}t}\boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, to show the validity of our main results, we verify that $\varphi^{NH}(t)$ is the solution of the IVP (4.1). In fact, let $\varphi_1^{NH}(t) = 2t\boldsymbol{i} + e^{\boldsymbol{j}t}\boldsymbol{j} - (t^2 + e^{\boldsymbol{j}t} - 1)\boldsymbol{k}$, $\varphi_2^{NH}(t) = -t\boldsymbol{i} + (1 - e^{\boldsymbol{k}t})\boldsymbol{j} + e^{\boldsymbol{k}t}\boldsymbol{k}$. Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi_1^{NH}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -e^{\boldsymbol{j}t} + (2 - e^{\boldsymbol{j}t})\boldsymbol{i} - 2t\boldsymbol{k} = \boldsymbol{j}\varphi_1(t) + (t^2 + 1)\boldsymbol{i}, \quad \varphi_1^{NH}(0) = \boldsymbol{j},$$

and,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi_2^{NH}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \boldsymbol{k}\varphi_2^{NH}(t) + t\boldsymbol{j} = -e^{\boldsymbol{k}t} - (1 - e^{\boldsymbol{k}t})\boldsymbol{i}, \quad \varphi_2^{NH}(0) = \boldsymbol{k},$$

which implies that $\varphi^{NH}(t)$ is the solution of the IVP (4.1).

Example 4.2 Consider the following QDEs, find a solution of the initial-value problem (IVP)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 + \boldsymbol{i} \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ t\boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x(0) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ -\boldsymbol{i} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2)^\top$.

Answer: Noting that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 + \boldsymbol{i} \end{pmatrix} x, \quad f(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ t\boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the following linear homogeneous QDEs

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 + \boldsymbol{i} \end{pmatrix} x. \tag{4.4}$$

Then it follows from [12], we see that $\lambda_1 = \boldsymbol{i}$, $\lambda_2 = 1 + \boldsymbol{i}$ are the eigenvalues of A. To find the eigenvector of $\lambda_1 = \boldsymbol{i}$, we consider the following equation

$$Aq = q\lambda_1,$$

in other words,

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{i}q_1 & = & q_1\boldsymbol{i} \\ q_1 + (1 + \boldsymbol{i})q_2 & = & q_2\boldsymbol{i}. \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

From the second equation of (4.5), if we take $q_1 = \boldsymbol{i}$. Substituting it into the first equation of (4.5), we can take $q_2 = -\boldsymbol{i}$. So we obtain one eigenvector as

$$\nu_1 = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ -\boldsymbol{i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, the eigenvector of $\lambda_2 = 1 + \boldsymbol{i}$ as

$$\nu_2 = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ddet}(\nu_1,\nu_2) &= \mathrm{ddet}\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} & 0 \\ -\boldsymbol{i} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det\Big[\begin{pmatrix} -\boldsymbol{i} & \boldsymbol{i} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} & 0 \\ -\boldsymbol{i} & 1 \end{pmatrix}\Big] = 1 \neq 0,\end{aligned}$$

the eigenvectors ν_1 and ν_2 are independent. Taking

$$\Phi(t) = (\nu_1 e^{\lambda_1 t}, \nu_2 e^{\lambda_2 t}) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} & 0 \\ -\boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} & e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} \end{pmatrix},$$

from [12] (Theorem 6.5.), $\Phi(t)$ is a fundamental matrix of 4.4. In fact,

$$\mathrm{ddet}\Phi(\mathrm{t}) = \det_{\mathrm{p}}(\Phi^{+}(\mathrm{t})\Phi(\mathrm{t})) = \det_{\mathrm{p}}\left[\begin{pmatrix} -\boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} & \boldsymbol{i}e^{-\boldsymbol{i}t} \\ 0 & e^{-(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} & 0 \\ -\boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} & e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} \end{pmatrix}\right] = \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{t}}.$$

Let $\Phi^{-1}(t) = (b_{jk})$, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$w_{11} = \det_p\left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} \\ e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} & -\boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} \end{pmatrix}\right] = \boldsymbol{i}e^{(2+\boldsymbol{i})t},$$

then

$$\bar{b}_{11} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{ddetA}} w_{11} = \boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t},$$

which implies

$$b_{11} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{ddetA}} w_{11} = -\boldsymbol{i}e^{-\boldsymbol{i}t}.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$b_{12} = 0, b_{21} = e^{-(1+\boldsymbol{i})t}, b_{22} = e^{-(1+\boldsymbol{i})t},$$

then the inverse matrix of $\Phi(t)$ are given by

$$\Phi^{-1}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -\boldsymbol{i}e^{-\boldsymbol{i}t} & 0 \\ e^{-(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} & e^{-(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Corollary 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{NH}(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} & 0 \\ -\boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} & e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\boldsymbol{i} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ -\boldsymbol{i} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} & 0 \\ -\boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} & e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} \end{pmatrix} \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} -\boldsymbol{i}e^{-\boldsymbol{i}s} & 0 \\ e^{-(1+\boldsymbol{i})s} & e^{-(1+\boldsymbol{i})s} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ s\boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} ds \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} \\ -\boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} & 0 \\ -\boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} & e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} \end{pmatrix} \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\boldsymbol{i}s} \\ e^{-(1+\boldsymbol{i})s} + e^{-(1+\boldsymbol{i})s} s\boldsymbol{k} \end{pmatrix} ds \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} \\ -\boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} & 0 \\ -\boldsymbol{i}e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} & e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (e^{-\boldsymbol{i}t}-1)\boldsymbol{i} \\ \frac{1}{2}\big[(1-e^{-(1+\boldsymbol{i})t})(1+\boldsymbol{i}) + (1-e^{-(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} - te^{-(1+\boldsymbol{i})t})\boldsymbol{j} - e^{-(1+\boldsymbol{i})t}t\boldsymbol{k}\big] \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{i}+1)e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} - 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}\big[(1+e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} - 2e^{\boldsymbol{i}t}) + (e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} - 1 - 2e^{\boldsymbol{i}t})\boldsymbol{i} + (e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} - t - 1)\boldsymbol{j} - t\boldsymbol{k}\big] \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, to show the validity of our main results, we verify that $\varphi(t)$ is the solution of the IVP (4.3). In fact, let $\varphi_1^{NH}(t) = (\boldsymbol{i}+1)e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} - 1$, $\varphi_2^{NH}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\big[(1+e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} - 2e^{\boldsymbol{i}t}) + (e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} - 2e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} - 1)\boldsymbol{i} + (e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} - t - 1)\boldsymbol{j} - t\boldsymbol{k}\big]$. Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi_1^{NH}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = (\boldsymbol{i}-1)e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} = \boldsymbol{i}\varphi_1(t) + \boldsymbol{i}, \quad \varphi_1^{NH}(0) = \boldsymbol{i},$$

and,

$$\varphi_2^{NH}(t) = e^{\boldsymbol{i}t} + (e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} - e^{\boldsymbol{i}t})\boldsymbol{i} + \frac{1}{2}(e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} - 1)\boldsymbol{j} + \frac{1}{2}(e^{(1+\boldsymbol{i})t} - 1)\boldsymbol{k}, \quad \varphi_2^{NH}(0) = -\boldsymbol{i} = \varphi_1(t) + (1+\boldsymbol{i})\varphi_2(t) + t\boldsymbol{k}.$$

This implies that $\varphi^{NH}(t)$ is the solution of the IVP (4.3).

5 Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

References

[1] Adler, S. L., Quaternionic Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Fields, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.

[2] A. Gasull. J. Llibre and Xiang Zhang, One dimensional quaternion homogeneous polynomial differential equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, 50 (2009), 082705.

[3] Alder, S. L., Quaternionic quantum field theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 104, 611-656 (1986).

[4] Alfred Gray. Modern Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, FL, 1993.

[5] F. Udwadia, A. Schttle, An Alternative Derivation of the Quaternion Equations of Motion for Rigid-Body Rotational Dynamics, *J. Appl. Mech.*,77:4(2010).

[6] Harley Flanders. Differential Forms with Applications to Physical Sciences. Academic Press, New York, 1963.

[7] J. Campos and J. Mawhin, Periodic solutions of quaternionic-values ordinary differential equations, *Annali di Matematica* 185 (2006), S109-S127.

[8] J.D. Gibbon, A quaternionic structure in the three-dimensional Euler and ideal magnetohydrodynamics equation, Physica D 166 (2002), 17-28.

[9] J.D. Gibbon, D.D. Holm, R.M. Kerr and I. Roulstone, Quaternions and particle dynamics in the Euler fluid equations, Nonlinearity, 19 (2006), 1969-1983.

[10] J. Marins, X. Yun, E. Bachmann, R. McGhee, and M. Zyda, An Extended Kalman Filter for Quaternion-Based Orientation Estimation Using MARG Sensors, Proceedings of the 2001 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems Maui, Hawaii, USA, Oct. 29- Nov. 03, 2001.

[11] J.R. Wertz, Spacecraft Attitude Determination and Control, Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands(1978).

[12] K. I. Kou, Y. H. Xia, Linear Quaternion Differential Equations: Basic Theory and Fundamental Results (I), available on arXiv:1510.02224v2,30,Oct 2015

[13] K. I. Kou, W. K. Liu, Y. H. Xia, Linear Quaternion Differential Equations: Basic Theory and Fundamental Results (II), available on arXiv: http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.0166,4,Feb 2016.

[14] L. Chen, Definition of determinant and Cramer solution over the quaternion field, *Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.)* 7 (1991), 171-180.

[15] L. Chen, Inverse matrix and properties of double determinant over quaternion field, *Sci. China Ser. A*, 34 (1991), 528-540.

[16] L. P. Eisenhart. A Treatise on the Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces. Dover, New York, 1960. Originally published in 1909.

[17] P. Wilczynski, Quaternionic-valued ordinary differential equations. The Riccati equation, *J. Differential Equations* 247 (2009), 2163-2187.

[18] P. Wilczynski, Quaternionic-valued ordinary differential equations II.Coinciding sectors, *J. Differential Equations* 252 (2012), 4503-4528.

[19] R. Dimitrid and B. Goldsmith, Sir William Rowan Hamilton, *Math. Intelligencer*, 11 (1989), no. 2, 29-30

[20] Rubtsov, V. N. and Roulstone, I., Examples of quaternionic and Keller structures in Hamiltonian models of nearly geostrophic flow, *J. Phys. A.*, 30, L63-L68,(1997).

[21] Roubtsov, V. N. and Roulstone, I., Holomorphic structures in hydrodynamical models of nearly geostrophic flow, *Proc. R. Soc. London. Ser. A.*, 457, 1519-1531, (2001).

[22] S. Leo, G. Ducati, C. Nishi, Quaternionic potentials in non-relativistic quantum mechanics, *J. Phys. A.*, 11/2001; 35(26).

[23] S. Leo, G. Ducati, Delay Time in Quaternionic Quantum Mechanics, *J. Math. Phys.* 04/2012; 53(2).

[24] S. Leo, G. Ducati, Solving simple quaternionic differential equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, 07/2003; 44(5).

[25] X. Zhang, Global structure of quaternion polynomial differential equations, available on arXiv:1407.7941v1,2014.